

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,611	08/30/2000	Charles E. Cantwell	10003898-1 4360		
7590 09/29/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Hewlett Packa	Hewlett Packard Company			YUSSUF, SAJID	
Intellectual Property Administration P O Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2 0	O 80527-2400		2141		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/652,611	CANTWELL, CHARLES E.	
Though	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sajid A Yussuf	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	d
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more content of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension fee un the final Office action; or (2) as set fort	e der h in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	,	, , ,	,
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place th	ne
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10. Other:			
<del></del>			

Application/Control Number: 09/652,611

Art Unit: 2141

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant states that Franklin clearly does not disclose that the coordinate system is

included in the proximity attribute 152. Furthermore, it is not inherent that Franklin

includes the coordinate system in the proximity attribute, or elsewhere. The coordinate

system may be a known coordinate system, universally applied. A known coordinate system

need not be included in the proximity attribute as it would be supplying unneeded

information. Therefore, not only does Franklin not disclose the proximity attribute including

a coordinate system, but Franklin also does not suggest the proximity attribute including a

coordinate system.

Examiner disagrees; the claim language presented by applicant does not disclose a

coordinate system in the proximity attribute. Applicant is advised that claims will be

reviewed in lite of the specification wherein the specification will not be read into the claim

language. Franklin teaches a directory service of resources wherein each resource has an

application object which contains attributes of the resource (Franklin: Page 1, paragraph

[0015]; Page 4, paragraph [0044]). Franklin further teaches where the application object

contains a logical position, and geographical identifiers (Franklin: Page 4, paragraph [0054]);

wherein the geographical identifier is inherently known as a coordinate system. A broad

depiction of a coordinate system can be any geographical identifier that presents any area.

By disclosing position information in the prior art, it is (as stated in the final action) inherent

that a coordinate system exists in the Franklin reference such that it may access the

position of the resource. Franklin furthermore, discloses a proximity attribute, however not

disclosed in claim language, Franklin teaches of a proximity attribute, (See Paragraph(s)

0021).

Applicant further states that Franklin does not disclose any structure that includes a coordinate system and coordinates for a resource.

Examiner disagrees, Franklin discloses a structure that includes a coordinate system and coordinates for a resource wherein Franklin discloses a proximity attribute that includes a geographical identifier that not only the physical location of a user but also provides the proximity of application objects and is associated resources, (See Paragraph 0054).

Applicant even further states that the remaining dependent claims are also allowable because they contain all of the limitations of their respective independent claims and further add structural and functional limitations.

Examiner disagrees, as the remaining dependent claims are not allowable.

## Conclusion

- 1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajid A. Yussuf whose telephone number is (703) 305-8752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM and Alternate Fridays.
- 2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306
- 3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

Application/Control Number: 09/652,611

Art Unit: 2141

Page 4

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

Sajid A. Yussuf Examiner Art Unit 2141

Sajid Yussuf Patent Examiner Technology center 2100 27 September 2004

RUPAL DHARIA
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER